

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/555,479	HIRSCHBURGER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shelley Self	3725	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Shelley Self. (3) _____.

(2) Eli Zborovsky. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 April 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Walton, II (4,281,457).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



SHELLEY M. SELF
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant noted the amendment filed (February 7, 2007) to overcome Walton, wherein the proposed amendment includes limitations to an extra inlet, extra outlet for reinforcement to the housing and grating. Applicant believes this structure to overcome the prior art Walton. Examiner noted that the amendment had not yet been reviewed by the Examiner and that all amendments and remarks will be considered in the next Office Action. Examiner also noted that the addition of structure will result in another search.